BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL, WESTERN ZONE BENCH, PUNE

APPLICATION NO. 21/2013 Akhil Bharat KrishiGosevaSanga (Mumbai) Vs MPCB &Ors

CORAM: HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE V.R. KINGAONKAR, JUDICIAL MEMBER

HON'BLE DR. AJAY A. DESHPANDE, EXPERT MEMBER

Present: Applicant/ Appellant : None Appeared

Respondent No.1 : SupriyaDangareAdv

Respondent No.2 : Sameer

KhaleAdva/wMakarandRodgeAdv

CPCB : MandaGaikwadAdv

		. Manuadanwaunuv
Date	and	Orders of the Tribunal
Remarks		
Item No.1		We have heard learned Counsel for the parties.
October	13,	By order dated September 10th, 2014, we directed the Respondent No.2 -
2014 Order No.8	,	Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai (MCGM), to forward a proposal to the
Order No.8		Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB), in order to verify whether the CPCB has any
11	1	objection to grant approval to the proposed installation of bio-methanation plant. A
		copy of the proposal was also sent to the MPCB. The MPCB was informed that if no
		response was received from the CPCB, then it may be presumed that the CPCB has
`		no objection as regards installation of bio-methanation plant. It is reported that within
Ti di		three (3) weeks, as directed in the earlier order, no response has been received from
· U		the CPCB. Needless to say, it can be recorded that there is no objection from the
	111.	CPCB for the work of installation of bio-methanation plant and MCGM, may go ahead
		with the work as proposed. Learned Advocate for MCGM, informs that the amount is
V.	-11 3	available and will be immediately transmitted to the Executing Agency - the Bhabha
	111	Atomic Research Centre (BARC), Mumbai, within a period of two (2) weeks. The
30		statement is recorded that transmission of such amount shall be done within two (2)
		weeks.
		Learned Advocate MandaGaikwad, appearing for CPCB, brought to our notice
	1	that there is no approval from the CPCB. But in the earlier order, we have already
		referred that inspite of such absence of approval, because of statement that letter of
	-7	MPCB is found to be in order, assumptive order was issued. Therefore, contention of
		Advocate MandaGaikwad, is not accepted. The amount shall be deposited within two
		(2) weeks, after singing by MoU, which shall be executed within a reasonable period,
		at the most, within period of three (3) weeks, thereafter. The copy of order of MoU and
		payment of amount by D.D. shall be placed on record thereafter.
		Stand over to 28 th November, 2014.
		, JM
		(Justice V. R. Kingaonkar)
		, EM
		(Dr.Ajay A. Deshpande)